S. R.
4 of 1877
1-6.

(Incomplete)

Regarding the Reduction of
License fees on Arrack Shops
in Mysore

Indexed
J Basappa

1

A-4

To

The Commr of Ashta

dated 27th Aug 1877

Duplex
Letter No 1347
To 2.2

Forwar for report Celi
No 1888 from Harrin
a duplex Father Arack
letter Mysore.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. [illegible] dated 12th [illegible] 1877 received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from [illegible]

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosures to the Commissioner of Police

BANGALORE,
187 .

"By Order,"

Secretary.

A-4

1877.

No. 1888

Name of Petitioner. Narasu and another Pillai & other inmates of Arrack

Village. Mysore

Taluk. Do

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 12th July 1877

Date of Receipt. 24th Do

Date of Disposal. 19/7/77

No. of Enclosures.

The former petn No 1879 was returned to Comr on report of this Office No 1033 of 6th Instt. May this 189 be returned also?

24/7/77

Ent.
25/7 Drafted
26/7/77

ABSTRACT.

Begs that the following fees may be sanctioned for Arrack shops in the Town of Mysore -

Rs 10 for a shop selling 30 gallons
" 20 for Do Do more than 30 gal. and less than 60 gal.
" 30 for Do selling from 60 to
" 50 for the Do from 90 to 120

further added that they have remitted a petn on the 9th Inst that an Abstract of their request being complied with, they beg that 10 Rs may be fixed on those selling less than 30 gals. and an additional sum of 10 Rs on every gallon sold above

A-4

MYSORE CHIEF COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

Bangalore, 30th January 1878.

Duplicate
LC No. 3506
72 529

To

The Commr of Ashtagram

S.P.
4077
2

SIR,

*Subject.

Forwarding for report Petition Dtd 18th July 1877 from Narrainswamy & other Arrack sellers of Mysore

I am directed to invite attention to the communication *marginally noted, and to request an early reply thereto.

No. 1317/227 dated 27th July 1877
Subsequent reminder No. dated

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Asst Secretary.

From the Dewan's Office No. 6169 dated 16th Nov 1877

28.1.78

Encl. 1878. No. 276

From The Commissioner, Mysore

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

ated Camp Hapsan, 1st February

espatched

ceived 15

16

Enclosures No. one

General No. 616
To No. 16 } 1877—78.

Replies to his No. 1317-227 dated 27th June & July 1877, regarding Arrack Vendors of Mysore.

16 Augt: last. A-H Thinks the rates prescribed in Bangalore Town Tariff might be adopted in the Mysore Town and Thus presented the 3 Distts of Mysore Dr to the Mysore & Hapsan Dts.

CM

Vide

Please note the rates here below.- Mysore Arrack-dealers of Mysore deserve consideration, as we know that there has been no Arrack to sale! —

23.2.78

For Retail Shops

the Bangalore Cantonment and Town Rs 240 per annum for each shop and Rs 4 for each gallon sold during the year in excess of 60 Gallons.

In the Districts

Taluk Kasbas 8 Rupees per mensem.
Hobblies — 4 —
Villages — 2 —

For Wholesale Shops.

Rupees 24 per annum each.

There could be CM
two sale at one Vide
in the Mysore Shops. Pl
— — — I want to

Gl No 6·16
75 16

Ashtagram Comrs. Office,
Camp Hapan, 11th Feby. 1878.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Comr.
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your Official Memo, No. 1317/227 dated 27th July 1877, giving cover to Petition No. 1888, from Narainsamy and other arrack sellers of Mysore, I have the honor to state for the information of the Chief Commissioner that there are nearly 18 arrack Retail Vendors including Petitioners in the town of Mysore. The quantity usually sold by these men varies from 30 to 100 gallons monthly, the licence fees payable to Government being Rs 20 per mensem. As the quantities lately sold by Petitioners were much less than usual they ask for a modification of the License fees as follows:—

	License fees R. A. P.
Where less than 30 gallons are sold monthly	10 - " - "
Do - between 30 and 60 gallons	20 - " - "
Do - do - 60 and 90 — "	30 - " - "
Do - do - 90 and 120 — "	40 - " - "

The Dy. Commissioner, Impey, states that he does not consider it advisable to introduce these modified rates and advocates the system in force in the Sumbur and Kolar Districts of fixing the license fees according to the population of the Town.

The Dy Commissioner has been requested to obtain and submit full information regarding this from the Districts alluded to, and submit a proposal for temporarily reducing the fees till a full supply of arrack is obtained.

The Petition is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obd. Servant,
Bellew
Commissioner.
Ad. 4

14/7/77

To

The Chief Commissioner of Coorg

Bangalore

1888

DEPT.

Most Honored Sir

We the undersigned arrack shop keepers of the Town of Mysore most humbly beg to submit this application under the impression that your honour will kindly take our poor circumstances into your kind Consideration and redress our grievances.

We trust that our application of the 29th Ultimo has reached your honor & that the circumstances therein represented may not have failed to attract your honors notice during these famine days.

2. We pray that the following scale of license fees may be sanctioned 10 Rs for the arrack shop where less than 30 gallons are sold.—

3. Rs 20. for the shop where more than 30 and less than 60 gallons are sold

6. In the event of our [illegible]
not being complied with we solicit that
10 Rupees may be fixed for the shop fees
month where less than 30 gallons are sold
and an additional sum of annas 8 on each
gallon where more than 30 gallons are sold.

And we humbly request the favor
of your honors orders being issued within
the time when Rents are Collected from
the Shopkeepers.

We beg to remain
Most Honored Sir
Your most obedient & humble
Servants.

Mysore.
12th July 1877.

Genl

No. 114

1878. 5/2 9

A-4

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

To The Secy. to Govt. of India with the Govt. of Bombay

Dated 5th March/78

Despatched " "

Received 20—

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 10,673

G No. 105

Your letter No. 616/16 of 11 February/78 ~~Endorsed~~ reporting on the Petition of Arrack Vendors in the Town of Mysore for remission of License fees

In reply I am directed to state that the Commr. further report ~~Endorsed~~ on the consideration of the Petition obtaining in the ~~Presidency~~ of Bombay Dte. ~~in which applications~~ for ~~remission of~~ License fees is awaited.

By Order.

[illegible]

Asst. Secy.

not only this amount but also the loss of revenue (abt Rs 20000) entailed by breach of Contract on the part of the Co.

25/3/78

I conceive this followed by demands from very Extensive Licensees - & this I have not expressed some Exception if it could be shown that the reduced Sales were directly due to the deficient supply of liquor from the Distillery I am not quite sure how this is the case. The proper course is I think to call upon the Distillery Co to show cause why they should not [illegible] compensate in Rupees

[illegible]

0581
126

From 13 1877.

The Comr, Abd Dn

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 19th March

Despatched

Received 21, 5/-
22

Enclosures No. 12.

General No. 727 / 140 } 187 —7

Submitted, for consideration and orders of the Chief Comr, copy of letter dated 7th Feby 78, from Lieutenant Governor, Bengal, recommending the remission for the current year of the Licence fees on wholesale and retail spirit shops in the Lungsai District.

To Distillery.

21. 3. 78

The percentage of deficiency is on the whole abt 38, and considering the loss the vendors were put to by the supply of liquor having been stopped since January I think half remission be recommended viz Rs 3570. in Jan. The Distillery Co. has to make good the Excise

140

Ashtagram Comm'd. Office,
Mysore, 19th March 1878.

To,
The Secretary to the
Chief Court of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith, for the favorable consideration and orders of the Chief Court, the enclosed copy of letter No. 1082 dated 28th February 1878, from the Dy. Commissioner, Mysore, recommending the remission for the current official year of half the Licence fees on wholesale and retail Arrack shops in the Mysore District, owing to the failure in the regular supply of arrack on the part of the Pollhally Company.

It will be seen from the accompanying detailed statement that the total quantity of arrack supplied by the Pollhally Company

and issued to wholesale and retail vendors in the Lugvale District, including the town of Lugvale, from the 1st of April 1877 up to the close of February 1878 was 10966 gallons or 6983 gallons (36 percent) less than that in 1876-77. Excepting 5 retail shops, in which the quantity sold exceeded that of 1876-77, the decrease in the remaining 41 retail and 3 wholesale shops was from 9 to 75 percent of the total quantity issued in 1876-77. There were no sales at all in 15 retail shops from the beginning of the current official year up to date. Although this may be attributed to various local causes, regarding which I am now in correspondence with the Collector, it is evident that the falling off in the remaining shops is owing chiefly to the failure on the part of the Pallailly Company to supply the required quantity of liquor.

The amount allowed to retail vendors, viz, 1 Rupee per gallon has to cover all their expenses, such as rent of shops, pay of servants, carriage hire of liquor, local cess, licence fees, &c.. Accordingly the loss to each retail vendor during the current official year varies in proportion to the quantity sold.

Instructions are solicited whether, under the circumstances, half of the Licence fees, amounting to Rs 3570 at rates varying from Rs 20 to Rs 2 per shop per month, may be remitted, as recommended by the Collector, or a proportionate reduction be made according to the difference in the quantity sold as compared with 1876-77, an arrangement which will give each retail vendor a fair remission according to the deficiency in his sales, and reduce, to some extent, the total amount

of remission recommended
by the Dy. Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed. servt.

Offg. Comt., Actg.

No 1082/18 Excise Office
Bygone District
Dated 28th February 1878.

To
The Commissioner, &c.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a statement I have had prepared of the issues during the year to Spirit-vendors. You will observe that only 10,961 gallons were issued against 17,949 in 1876-77, this is simply owing to the failure of the Company to have spirit to issue so very often vendors had to return without their application being met or only with a very limited supply compared with what they wished to receive.

2. It will thus be seen that for no fault of theirs the sales have fallen roughly 1/3 - 4 months revenue and livelihood, but as they have often had fruitless journeys and for a long time a very uncertain

sale and consequent loss of custom, I would recommend for your consideration and sanction that their License fees be reduced for the current year by half a remission equal to Rupees 3570-0-0.

Ishwar & Co
S/d C. Ramaiah
Dy Collr
Anjengo 4/4

Copy
D. F. Wallis.
for Collr &c.

3	Mutsami Hoodr	261	12	12	15	12	15	12	15	5	9	3	"		110	151	57
4	Joonnath Hoodr	265	21	14	26	21	27	21	24	11	12	3	"		184	101	35
5	Kristnappah Yellandoo	531	33	35	42	36	42	39	44	21	18	9	"		319	212	40
--	Ahungaiah	78	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		16	60	75
1	— do. —	42	"	"	9	"	9	"	9	2	9	"	"		38	4	9
2	Total	1749													10966	09983	36

Vellaulli
26th Feby 78

Sd C. Pearce
Dy Collr

Sd Robt. H. Blake,
Storekeeper.

Copy
J. F. White
for Collr
adm

	Nanjengude Taluk																
1	Ramanathiah	239	10	6	13	13	11	13	14	6	4	"	"		90	149	62
2	Venkatiah	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	2	
	Talcad Taluk																
1	Ramalingum Moodr.	48	6	6	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		17	31	35
2	Sarayee Ramah	94	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	94	
3	Ramalingum Moodr	44	6	6	12	6	6	"	6	6	"	"	"		48	+ 4	
4	Kondaswamy Moodr	57	"	6	3	"	"	3	"	"	2	"	"		14	43	75
5	Dasagowdah	39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	39	
	Mandya Taluk																
1	Govindah	55	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	55	
2	Timmanah	98	15	12	15	12	12	15	33	6	18	"	"		138	+ 40	
3	Kavare	64	4	2	6	6	9	5	9	4	3	"	"		48	16	25
4	Kastooriah	2	6	3	6	3	"	"	8	3	2	"	"		31	+ 29	
	Maloalli Taluk																
	Wholesale Shops																
	Narasamiah	638	80	20	20	40	40	40	44	60	48	"	"		392	246	38
	Retail Shops																
	Narasingowdah	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	14	
	Rayagowdah	51	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	51	
	Venkettagowdah	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	4	
	Dasagowdah	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	4	
	Seringapatam																
	Venkatramaiah	216	12	15	18	16	15	16	14	12	15	"	"		131	85	39
	Timmanah	171	9	12	9	9	9	12	9	10	12	"	"		91	80	46

These shops are supplied by the wholesale vendor

7																	
5	Shamhoodu	233	20	16	22	24	22	22	20	10	10	4	"		170	137	58
6	Ankapiah	234	9	15	18	15	15	15	18	7	10	"	"		122	112	47
	Rayasetty	90	9	12	18	15	15	18	12	7	6	"	"		112	+22	
78	Venketrooamy	69	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	3	"		56	13	19
	Periapatam Taluk																
	Wholesale Shop No. 2																
	Jippojee	806	42	86	65	90	63	86	84	66	54	"	"		637	169	20
	Retail shops																
1	Inochi Timmiah	113	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		[illegible]	113	[illegible]
2	Inuddoorers	838	68	60	100	50	68	25	67	15	62	"	"		515	323	38
3	Inochidickkakulany	321	20	"	31	29	"	25	35	10	23	"	"		173	148	46
4	Do Kamam Ranjah	1042	68	68	50	118	40	68	73	78	57	50	"		664	378	36
5	Do Dawy	533	"	50	60	16	54	50	50	50	50	20	"		397	136	25
6	Shannookapillay	48	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	48	
9	Do Dawy	"	"	18	36	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		64	+64	
11	Inochi Rapaniah	19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	19	
	Yedatorah Taluk																
1	Basamah	95	3	3	4	9	3	6	3	3	3	"	"		42	53	55
	Chundesettay Jathiah	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	50	
	Heggeddaveh Kote Taluk																
	Silva Subia Swamy Pillah Wholesale Shop No. 1	1042	84	102	154	102	101	83	70	67	51	20	"		837	205	19
	Gundlepett Taluk																
1	Jiddaliah	112	"	"	28	"	"	11	6	"	8	8	"		61	51	45

9L

Recd 1878 No. 73

From

To The Commissioner of the Darjeeling Division

5 A 1 0 1 7 0

Dated Darjeeling 30th March.

Despatched

Received 5 April

Enclosures No.

General No. 11675

B No. 71

Forwarding orders, on the representation of the Commissioner for the current year of Subdivisional Licensed fees on wholesale and Retail Arrack shops in the Darjeeling District.

23

General No. 11675
R. 71

Dated 30th March 1878.

Letter No. 727/140 of the 19th March 1878 from the Offg Commr Ashtagram Dn recommending the remission for the current offl year of half the License fees on wholesale and retail Arrack Shops in the Mysore Distt owing to the failure in the regular supply of Arrack on the part of the Pathally Company

---

Order thereon—— The Chief Commr observes that the Concession recommended in the above will be followed by demands from every retail-seller in the Province, and though he would not refuse some remission, if it could be shewn that the reduced sales were directly due to the deficient supply of liquor from the distillery, he is not quite sure that

X In conclusion Commr says he points to his General Indemnity for short sales & this Island Kindas is directly due to deficient supply & the Rebellion. If so Such Indemn, the Pathally Co will have Claim upon Govt for such and no compensation in Island Kindas or Shan Cause why Remission should not

23

General No. 11675
R. 71

Dated 30th March 1878.

Letter No. 727/140 of the 14 March 1878 from the Offg Commr, Ashtagram Dve recommending the remission for the current offl year of half the License fees on wholesale and Retail Arrack Shops in the Mysore Distt owing to the failure in the regular Supply of Arrack on the part of the Pallally Company.

Order thereon—— The Chief Commr observes that the Concession recommended in the above will be followed by demands from every retail-seller in the Province, and though he would not refuse some remission, if it could be shewn that the reduced sales were directly due to the deficient supply of liquor from the Distillery, he is not quite sure that such

X In anticipation of applications for similar indulgence in Shop Sales of the latest Months directly due to deficient supply of the Distillery, if such indulgence, Pallally Co Rent to be called upon to pay such amount as will compensate the latest Months or from some cause the demand Shops last

such is the case. The proper course is, Mr Saunders thinks, to call upon the Distillery Co. to shew cause why they should not compensate the Vendors.

to be made upon the Company by

Secretary.

To the Offg Commrs. Ashtaparam Dy.